



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office**  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/679,725	10/04/2000	Robert g. Whirley	TRI-0255-UT	7345
82764	7590	02/27/2009	EXAMINER	
GRANT ANDERSON LLP			PROCTOR, JASON SCOTT	
GRANT ANDERSON LLP C/O PORTFOLIOIP			ART UNIT	
P.O. BOX 52050			PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			2123	
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			02/27/2009	
			PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/679,725	WHIRLEY ET AL.
	Examiner JASON PROCTOR	Art Unit 2123

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JASON PROCTOR. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) William B. Anderson (41,585). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: St. Ville, Keane, Rogers.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments in claim 1 to recite "...and simulates an interaction ... over at least one dynamic expansion and contraction cycle of THE ANATOMICAL FEATURE..." would grant additional weight to the argument that the prior art does not teach the simulation as claimed. A response to the pending office action has been filed on 2/17/2009 without these amendments. Applicants may or may not file such amendments at this time.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Jason Proctor/
--	-----------------